UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Middle District of Tennessee

United States of Americ	a	
v.)
ANCEL CARCIA) Case No: 3:04-00008-2
ANGEL GARCIA) USM No: 19412-076
Date of Original Judgment:	05/02/2005)
Date of Previous Amended Judgment:) Michael Holley
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)		Defendant's Attorney
ORDER REGARD	OING MOTIO	ON FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PUR	RSUANT TO	18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term subsequently been lowered and made ref	of imprisonment troactive by the Uotion, and taking	tor of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has Jnited States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 a), to the extent that they are applicable,
	and the defendan	t's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of	1	months is reduced to
(Con	nplete Parts I and II	of Page 2 when motion is granted)
Except as otherwise provided, all provis	ions of the judgm	nent dated shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.		Alex Alaman
Order Date: 07/16/2015		(Della Com)
		Judge's signature
Effective Date:		ALETA A. TRAUGER, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
(if different from order date		Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT:	A	ANGEL GAI	RCIA			
CASE NUMBER:	3:04-00008-2			_		
DISTRICT:	Middle District	of Tennesse	ee	_		
I. COURT DETE	RMINATION OF	' GUIDEL	INE RANC	GE (Prior to Any Departures)		
Previous Total Offe	ense Level:			Amended Total Offense Level:		
Criminal History C	ategory:			Criminal History Category:		
Previous Guideline	Range:	to	months	Amended Guideline Range:	to	months
The reduced so The previous t time of sentence is comparably	entence is within the	ne amended ent imposed a substantia ided guideli	l guideline i l was less tl l assistance ine range.	han the guideline range applicable departure or Rule 35 reduction,		

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The defendant's guideline range was based upon the career offender guideline, rather than the drug guideline, which has been lowered. Therefore, he is not entitled to relief.

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset